UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/563,240	07/24/2006	Chin Kok Yap	Q92543	8683
23373 7590 09/09/2010 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			BAYAT, BRADLEY B	
	SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3625	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/09/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

sughrue@sughrue.com PPROCESSING@SUGHRUE.COM USPTO@SUGHRUE.COM

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/563,240	YAP, CHIN KOK		
Examiner initiated interview duminary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	BRADLEY B. BAYAT	3625		
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>PEI</u>	<u>NDING</u>		
(1) <u>BRADLEY B. BAYAT</u> .	(3)			
(2) <u>ALAN J. KASPER</u> .	(4)			
Date of Interview: <u>3 September 2010</u>	Time: 4:30 P.M. EST			
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicate ☐ Applicate ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)			
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed:				
Claims discussed:				
Prior art documents discussed:				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiner and Applicant discussed which set of claims presented in the file wrapper were to be examined since multiple sets were filed. Applicant directed the Examiner to examine claims 1-29 submitted as part of the 371 application dated August 1, 2005 and filed with the PTO 1/3/2006 under the heading 371P. Claims are directed to an inventory management system and method.				
Part III.				
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand 	e examiner will provide a writte record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview		
/Bradley B Bayat/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3625	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)		
(1)	spanouna spanouna s representati	1.0 Signature in appropriate)		